

## MHHS Cross Code Advisory Group (CCAG) Headline Report

Issue date: 30/01/2023

Meeting number	<b>CCAG013</b>	Venue	<b>Virtual – MS Teams</b>
Date and time	<b>25 January 2023 10:00-12:00</b>	Classification	<b>Public</b>

### Actions

Area	Ref	Action	Owner	Due
<b>Horizon Scanning</b>	CCAG14-01	Programme to clarify position and timelines on BSC issue 101 and how any requirements may proceed into CCAG-led code drafting	Programme (Chris Welby)	22/02/2023
	CCAG14-02	Programme ensure HS Log reflects latest Code Body updates	Programme (PMO)	22/02/2023
<b>Consequential Change Code Drafting</b>	CCAG14-03	Programme to issue notice in The Clock to advise participants of CC Log and location for visibility and to invite queries or comments	Programme (Andrew Margan)	01/02/2023
	CCAG14-04	Programme to issue notice in The Clock to advise participants of upcoming review requirements as part of Programme code drafting consultations	Programme (Andrew Margan)	01/02/2023
<b>Previous Meeting(s)</b>	CCAG13-02	Review the risks IDs for each Horizon Scanning entry to ensure there is a clear link/cross-reference between horizon scanning items and RAID log entries	Programme (Jason Brogden, Matt McKeon)	25/01/23
	CCAG13-03	Present the list of consequential change items to be included in code drafting and the rationale for including them to the January CCAG	Programme, Code Bodies	25/01/23
	CCAG13-06	Present an updated version of the design artefact mapping document at January CCAG (to show how design artefacts map to code drafting topic areas)	Sarah Jones, Matt McKeon	25/01/23

### Decisions

Area	Ref	Decision
None		

## RAID Items Discussed

RAID area	Description
None	

## Key Discussion items

Area	Discussion
<b>Minutes and actions</b>	<p>The CCAG received action updates, full details of which will be published in the meeting minutes. Key updates are provided below:</p> <p><b>DAG13-01:</b> Regarding the timing of dependencies between qualification and Self-Assessment Document (SAD) processes, the Programme advised discussion with Elexon was ongoing to review Balancing and Settlement Code (BSC) qualification obligations. It was noted SAD would be referred to as Qualification Assessment Document (QAD) in future. No further code drafting is required at present and the Programme is assessing how best to utilise the qualification section of the code drafting plan. The Programme noted the constructive feedback provided by a Supplier Agent representative, and how this had led to discussion and the formation of an enhanced position with regard to the view of qualification requirements for MHHS. The Supplier Agent representative questioned why this had not been raised at the MHHS Qualification and E2E Sandbox Working Group (QWG). The Programme advised items will be tabled at the next QWG and this will be a content-rich session. The Programme is seeking to develop and provide both SIT and qualification content at the same time. The RECCo representative confirmed the Retail Energy Code (REC) with draft QAD ahead of this, and a high-level content overview will be provided to the next QWG. RECCo advised the QAD drafting will be finalised once the Qualification Approach and Plan is finalised. The Programme advised there is nothing preventing participants from reviewing the QAD ahead of final approval and initiating preparations for qualification. The Supplier Agent representative believed the production of qualification requirements were taking a long time, and parties who are not taking part in SIT would not receive their qualification requirements until six months after those in SIT. The representative believed this created a risk to migration. The Programme noted this was an incentive to participate in SIT and participants were beginning to come forward to express interest in SIT participation. The action was closed, noting further discussion will be tabled at the QWG.</p> <p><b>DAG13-04:</b> The group discussed BSC performance assurance (PA) requirements and timelines, and their alignment to the code drafting plan. The Elexon Representative did not believe the current timelines were feasible and advised this will be expressed in Elexon's Round 3 Replan consultation response. The group discussed the risks this may present and how they could be mitigated. The Programme noted there are ongoing discussions with relevant parties, and the practicalities of PA arrangements will require assessment. It was acknowledged that at present, the Programme and Elexon's views on the timelines for delivery of PA requirements differed and this would require reconciliation.</p> <p><b>DAG13-06:</b> In relation the mapping of Design Artefacts to code drafting topic areas, the DCUSA Representative did not believe the existing traceability matrices sufficiently mapped services to artefacts. The group agreed to retain the action to enable ongoing consideration of the extent to which this mapping either already exists or requires creation.</p>
<b>Horizon Scanning log</b>	<p>Code Bodies provided updates on their code changes recorded in the Horizon Scanning Log:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>BSC:</b> BSC Modification P434 has been implemented and BSC P432 is currently with Ofgem for decision. The Programme queried whether P432 is dependent on the associated DCUSA change and queried whether Ofgem could make a decision until they receive the DCUSA change. Another member believed Ofgem would also need to see REC Change R0015 regarding communications links and posited the</li> </ul>

	<p>three changes serve as a package. The DCUSA representative advised the relevant DCSUA change would not be provided to Ofgem until March 2023 at the earliest.</p> <p>BSC Issue 101 is progressing, and a call for interested parties was made. The RECCo representative expressed concern over the interaction of BSC Issue 101 with other code drafting activities and believed it would be progressing under Significant Code Review (SCR) powers. The representative questioned whether all Data Integration Platform (DIP) users would be captured by issue 101 discussion and believed there may be a need for interaction with Programme-led code drafting. The Elexon representative advised they were considering whether any requirements may proceed through the consequential change route via the 'DIP Technical Documents' item. The Programme took an action to clarify how any MHHS requirements emanating from BSC Issue 101 may proceed into consequential change code drafting <b>(ACTION CCAG14-01)</b>.</p> <p>The BSC representative went on to advise BSC Change Proposals (CPs) 1558 and 1568 were approved and awaiting implementation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>REC:</b> REC Change R0015 is progressing and will be presented to the REC Change Board on 20 February 2023. The change must align with its BSC equivalent (P432). REC R0044, which introduces the Meter Data Retriever appointment flow into the Data Service Provider is progressing. R0044 is a critical element of delivery for the Central Switching Service (CSS) and Data Communications Company (DCC) relating to MHHS.</li> </ul> <p>The group noted several updates contained within the slides provided by Code Bodies which required updating in the Horizon Scanning Log <b>(ACTION CCAG14-02)</b>.</p>
<p><b>Consequential Change Code Drafting</b></p>	<p>The Programme provided an update on the governance of consequential change code drafting items. Consequential change which is to be included within code drafting must be raised via the Consequential Change Impact Assessment Group (CCIAG) and the relevant Code Body must warrant that the change is required to deliver MHHS and would otherwise require a standalone change under their respective codes change process. If solution development is required, this must be undertaken via the respective codes change development processes (including consultation as may be required). The resultant solution may then be incorporated into the code drafting being undertaken via the CCAG and Code Drafting Working Group (CDWG).</p> <p>One member requested a communication is issued via the Programme newsletter, The Clock, to inform participants of the Consequential Change Log, and to invite comments, inclusions, and highlight the validation process for consequential change items <b>(ACTION CCAG14-03)</b>.</p> <p>The group discussed the notion the CCIAG is becoming increasingly code focussed rather than design and considered whether its activities should move to the code workstream under the CCAG. The Programme noted this was under consideration, and it was possible the CCIAG would be stood down and the Consequential Change Log transferred to another group such as the CDWG.</p> <p>The Programme advised that initial comments provided by participants in response to the Round 3 Replan consultation highlighted the consequential code change drafting topic area within the code drafting plan was a large topic. Consideration was being given to whether the topic area will be split into smaller segments.</p> <p>Finally, the Programme provided an overview of the existing items within the Consequential Change Log which have been agreed for inclusion in the consequential change code drafting. Most items relate to the REC, however there were expected to be numerous additions as the deadline for the inclusion of items within the log approached.</p>

<b>CCAG Reporting</b>	<p>The Programme advised code drafting had commenced on 03 January 2023 for Data Services and Metering Services. Any pre-consultation questions will be taken to the CDWG on 07 February 2023, and there is an option for a second CDWG on 14 February 2023.</p> <p>Changes to the code drafting plan are anticipated once the Round 3 Replan consultation closes, and this is likely to include movement of the order of drafting topics. Consultation responses thus far have highlighted potential risks to code drafting for interfaces and the potential size of the consequential code drafting topic area.</p> <p>An update was provided on the status of Data and Metering Services code drafting, and the code documents included within this tranche of drafting. The Programme noting ongoing work to ensure appropriate resourcing for BSC code drafting. One attendee expressed surprise at seeing the metering codes of practice listed within the document under this tranche of drafting. It was noted the changes to these documents were likely to be minimal. Another attendee comments on participant resourcing, noting those not involved in the MHHS governance groups may require notice of the potential resource requirements for reviewing and responding to consultations on code drafting given the relatively short timescales for response. The Programme noted the consultation periods had been extended from two weeks to three weeks and offered to consider issuing notices in The Clock to advise participants of upcoming review requirements (<b>ACTION CCAG14-04</b>). The group also agreed it would be useful to know the general size/length of documents to be reviewed in under each topic area.</p> <p>The RECCo representative advised updates on REC drafting had been taken to the CDWG and metering documents were currently under review by REC with only a small number of REC documents to be issued for consultation under the current tranche of code drafting.</p>
-----------------------	--

**Date of next CDWG: 07 February 2023**

**Date of next CCAG: 22 February 2023**