

## MHHS Cross Code Advisory Group (CCAG) Headline Report

Issue date: 24/04/2025

Meeting number	<b>CCAG041</b>	Venue	<b>Virtual – MS Teams</b>
Date and time	<b>23 April 10:00-12:00</b>	Classification	<b>Public</b>

### New / Outstanding Actions

Area	Ref	Action	Owner	Due
<b>Urgent/Fast Track Code Change Process</b>	<b>CCAG41-01</b>	Code Bodies to socialise urgent change process with respective decision groups and provide positive confirmation of support to May CCAG.	<b>Code Bodies</b>	<b>28/05/25</b>

### Decisions

Area	Ref	Decision
<b>Headline Report and Actions</b>	<b>CCAG-DEC69</b>	Headline Report of CCAG meeting held 26 March 2025 approved.

### RAID Items Discussed

RAID area	Description
None	

### Key Discussion Items

Area	Discussion
<b>Headline Report and Actions</b>	<b>DECISION:</b> Headline Report of CCAG meeting held 26 March 2025 approved ( <b>CCAG-DEC69 – recording timestamp 00:03:42</b> ).

**Horizon  
Scanning Log**

The BSC Representative provided an update on the BSC changes.

Discussion on the change 'Align the requirements of BSCP65 and the IDD with the BSCP707 Appendices 1 and 2', is summarised below:

- The MHHS Code Lead queried if there should be a change number, to which the BSC Representative confirmed it hasn't been formally raised yet and assigned an ID. They also added that their CP process requires them to fully establish the change before raising and ensure the solution is in place when redlining the change.
- The MHHS Senior Market Architect stated they are updating BSCP65 and queried if they can put it under their mod. The BSC Representative confirmed that due to the system updates that aren't necessarily linked to MHHS Design, it makes sense to keep separate. The MHHS Code Lead stated that it was best to follow up on this offline.

Discussion on the change 'Implementation of Data Refresh Message IF-051', is summarised below:

- The MHHS Industry SME queried if the change comes into effect for M8, will it go through the BSC change mechanism. The BSC Representative confirmed this is correct and that it's separate change from their mod. They also added that they've worked closed with the Programme to ensure they have reviewed all potential conflicts.

The BSC Representative provided an update on change 'Removal of SVAA service description from MHHS BSC Central Services doc' stating that since publication on CCAG paper day, it's been confirmed that it is not a CP as they'll need to make a change to Annex X1, BSC Centra Services and BSC Central System which need to be reverted back to SVAA.

Discussion on the change 'Enabling mismatches in data between CSS and MPRS', is summarised below:

- The MHHS Code lead asked when will the implementation dates be confirmed, noting that BSC and REC need to align on this date. The REC Code Manager confirmed they will align with BSC on the implementation date and are currently driving for February 2026. The BSC Representative stated that all these changes are caveated by how successful the Early Life Support period is.

The BSC Representative clarified that the change 'Add the DIP business definitions to the SVA Data Catalogue (and other improvements) has no impact on PPs and is merely a transparency change.

The Supplier Representative (Domestic) queried when the next MHHS Expert Group will be held. The BSC Representative confirmed it will be in be in the coming weeks but the date is yet to be confirmed.

The REC Representative queried whether the new proposed BSC mod on migration incentives will be discussed at the next MHHS Expert Group and if an update will be brought to CCAG for visibility. The SRO Chair confirmed there is webinar on Friday 25 April at 10am for industry that will address it.

- The REC Rep voiced concerns around understanding what the REC impacts will be from the mod. The SRO Chair confirmed they would keep REC involved and informed on what potential impacts exist. The BSC Representative also confirmed it will be added to Horizon Scanning log for next month.

The REC Code Manager provided an update on the REC changes. No comments or queries were raised from CCAG.

	<p>The National Grid ESO Representative provided an update on CMP430, stating that it was implemented on 1 April 2025 and the CUSC is complete.</p>
<p><b>Urgent/Fast Track Code Change Process</b></p>	<p>The Programme, BSC, REC, DIP and SEC provided an update on how Code Bodies can deliver urgent/fast track Code change.</p> <p>The BSC representative confirmed the process to urgent changes through Mods and CPs. Discussion on this process is summarised below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Supplier Agent Representative queried if the emergency fix process had ever been enacted in the past. The BSC Representative confirmed it was primarily for their internal systems but that it had been used once during COVID. The Supplier Agent Representative raised concerns of PPs ability to implement changes quickly, highlighting the risks of a compressed consultation timeframe</li> <li>• The MHHS Code Lead clarified that the process is for quickly raising code changes when the Design hasn't been correctly translated into code. They added that there are ongoing discussions to ensure the Design is correctly implemented and there are Programme controls that need to be put in place before these processes are activated.</li> <li>• The BSC Representative added that setting an effective implementation timetable is crucial and that consultations will still go ahead even if they are brief. The Supplier Agent Representative emphasised the importance of ensuring PPs have an opportunity to provide feedback if timescales are reduced. The MHHS Code Lead also added that if there any issues with the Design at go live, they will progress those types of discussions through TORWG and MCAG as well as the Issues Resolution Group (IRG) that will be stood up post M10. They reiterated that the existence of these two different workstreams align the Design to the Code.</li> <li>• The SRO Chair sought clarity from the BSC Representative that these are existing BSC processes to which the BSC Representative confirmed was correct.</li> </ul> <p>The REC Code Manager provided update on Urgent REC change process, confirming there is no separate urgent change process. Discussion on this process is summarised below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The MHHS Industry SME queried if the process takes a minimum of three days and if there is an immediate fix option. The REC Code Manager confirmed that there is no immediate fix option and that Code changes must go through the process, which can be expedited but depends on consultation and implementation times.</li> <li>• The REC Representative added there is a derogation process under the REC for Central Systems, which can be stood up to allow obligations under the REC to be removed and for the REC to be amended to address a particular issue. They added that it's not suitable for multiparty updates. The MHHS Industry SME queried what the process is for implementation across multiple parties. The REC Representative confirmed the REC process sets out which mechanism they'd use for cross party.</li> </ul> <p>DIP Manager provided an update on the Urgent DCAB, DIP Change process. Discussion on this process is summarised below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The MHHS Code Lead queried the fastest time for the urgent process, noting discrepancies in the communicated timelines. The DIP Manager confirmed the duration is at DIP Manager's discretion, except for the authority step-in time window, which requires Ofgem approval to waive. They clarified that the 10 working days are not specified in the Code and can be waived, allowing for quicker changes.</li> <li>• The REC Representative queried if there any differences between T1 and T2 changes and what their associated timelines would be. The DIP Manager confirmed that aligning Code with Design would be a Tier 2 change, which the DIP Manager can make a decision on, however, for</li> </ul>

	<p>Tier 1 changes, a longer timeframe is needed, but urgent DCAB meetings can be organised. The REC Representative suggested discussing how this process would be stood up, if required, at the next DCAB meeting.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>ACTION</b> – Code Bodies to socialise urgent change process with respective decision groups and provide positive confirmation of support to May CCAG (<b>CCAG41-01</b>).</li> </ul> <p>The Gemserv Representative provided an update on the Urgent SEC Modification process. No questions or comments were received.</p> <p>The Code Lead ran through the Programme high-level process and controls that will be in place before activating the urgent change process stated above.</p> <p>The Supplier Agent Representative how effective various governance groups are in terms of attendance and breadth of representation. The MHHS Code Lead confirmed the IRG will be well supported with a breadth of representation and most likely will be largest decision group Programme has.</p>
<b>Reporting Milestones, CCAG Risks and Post-M6 Change</b>	<p>The Programme provided an update on the milestone status, risks and Post M6/pre M8 Code Change Approach and Planning.</p> <p>They confirmed that the plan remains on track to implement Code changes ahead of M8 and reiterated that May CDWG will be stood up to present the Code changes to.</p>
<b>M8 Code Implementation</b>	<p>The Code Bodies provided an update on M8 Code Implementation/go-live. No comments or queries were raised from CCAG.</p> <p>The MHHS Code lead confirmed the proposed M8 SCR timelines are still under development and not formalised.</p> <p>The Ofgem Representative confirmed they have every intention to make the earlier timelines outlined in the slides.</p>
<b>Summary and Next Steps</b>	

**Next CCAG: 28 May 2025 at 10am**

### Attendees

#### **Chair**

Chris Welby (CW)

#### **Role**

SRO Chair

#### **Industry Representatives**

Andrew Wallace (AW)

REC Representative

Fungai Madzivadondo (FM)

Energy Networks Representative

Paul Saker (PS)

Supplier Representative (Domestic)

Jacob Snowden (JS)

BSC Representative

Lawrence Jones (LJ)

BSC Representative

Neil Dewar (ND)

National Grid ESO Representative

Clare Hannah (CH)  
Marc Rhodes (MR)  
Jenny Sarsfield (JS)

Supplier Agent Representative  
Gemserv (SEC) Representative  
DIP Manager

**MHHS Programme**

Andrew Margan (AM)  
Katie Dyson (KD)  
Jason Brogden (JB)  
Paul Pettitt (PP)  
Matthew McKeon (MM)  
Steven Bradford (SB)  
Kevin Spencer (KS)

Code Lead  
PMO Governance Analyst  
Industry SME  
Design Lead  
Senior Design and Industry Code Advisor

Senior Market Architect

**Other attendees**

Harriet Truss (HF)  
Andy MacFaul (AM)  
Jiayi Xu (JX)

REC Code Manager  
Ofgem  
IPA

**Apologies**

David Kemp (DK)  
Jenny Boothe (JB)  
Rhiannon Harrison (RH)  
Kanchan Thite (KT)  
Fahreen Japp (FJ)  
Sinead Quinn (SQ)  
Zahra Pervez (ZP)  
Bradley Baker (BB)  
Lawrence Jones (LJ)  
Mark DeSouza (MD)  
Tim Newton (TN)  
John Lawton (JL)  
Andrew Green (AG)

DCC Representative  
Ofgem  
PWC  
PWC  
Ofgem  
Ofgem  
IPA  
DCC Representative  
BSC Representative  
Elexon  
Gemserv  
DCUSA Representative  
Supplier Representative (I&C Suppliers)