

MHHS Cross Code Advisory Group (CCAG) Headline Report

Issue date: 29/08/2023

Meeting number **CCAG021**

Venue **Virtual – MS Teams**

Date and time **23 August 2023 10:00-12:00**

Classification **Public**

New / Outstanding Actions

Area	Ref	Action	Owner	Due
Horizon Scanning Log	CCAG21-01	Ofgem to discuss putting out a statement to industry which explains the rationale behind delay of the P432 decision.	Ofgem (Sinead Quinn)	27/09/23
Horizon Scanning Log	CCAG21-02	Programme to engage with Elexon regarding concerns around R0144 (linked to P459) impacts to the MHHS Programme.	Programme (Chris Welby)	27/09/23
Horizon Scanning Log	CCAG21-03	To ensure all Code Bodies are in attendance at CCAG meetings and make appropriate updates to the horizon scanning log.	Programme (Chris Welby)	27/09/23
Horizon Scanning Log	CCAG21-04	Programme to provide an update on their inputs to the horizon scanning long at subsequent CCAGs (in relation to P459).	Programme (PMO)	27/09/23
NGESO Delivery Update	CCAG21-05	Programme to include the Charging Futures mailing list for Task Force updates with the headline report.	Programme (PMO)	Please see link to NGESO Charging Futures mailing list here .
NGESO Delivery Update	CCAG21-06	NGESO to provide further delivery updates on consequential code change at subsequent CCAGs.	NGESO (Neil Dewar)	27/09/23
Elexon Delivery Update	CCAG21-07	Elexon to provide further delivery updates on consequential code change at subsequent CCAGs.	Elexon (Oli Meggitt)	27/09/23
Elexon Delivery Update	CCAG21-08	Programme plan to be updated to reflect Elexon's amended consequential change delivery date.	Programme (Andrew Margan)	27/09/23
Open action from previous meeting	CCAG20-02	Programme to include a list of Mop-up planning and the changes to be delivered under this.	Programme (Andrew Margan)	23/08/2023

Decisions

Area	Ref	Decision
Minutes and Actions	CCAG-DEC33	Minutes and Headline Reports of CCAG meetings held 26 July 2023 approved.
CDWG Escalations	CCAG-DEC34	The CCAG approved the CDWG recommendation of BSC and RED Registration Code Artefact approval.

RAID Items Discussed

RAID area	Description
None	

Key Discussion items

Area	Discussion
Minutes and Actions	<p>The CCAG reviewed outstanding actions, updates for which can be found within the meeting papers.</p> <p>The minutes and headline report from the July CCAG meeting was approved (DECISION CCAG-DEC33).</p> <p>The Programme noted comments against the following action:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CCAG19-04: Action now moved to closed.
Horizon Scanning Log	<p>It was noted that there was a new version (1.1) of the CCAG meeting pack now available on the Collaboration Base, with updates made to REC Horizon Scanning Log slides.</p> <p><u>DCUSA</u></p> <p>The updates from DCUSA were as follows:</p> <p>DCP414 – The DCUSA representative shared that DCP414 (transitional protection for NHH CT customers affected by regulatory change) had been approved at the Authority. It was noted that the latest information from Ofgem, after the Authority had made the decision on 21 July, was that DCP434 would come into effect in January 2024 (after DCP414) which DCUSA thought surprising due to their close linkage. The Programme responded that the rationale for this is that the DCP434 comes into effect 5WD after their decision on DCP414, and if it came into effect at the same time then it could leave customers exposed to capacity charges.</p> <p>The Supplier Agent representative highlighted that it would be helpful for Ofgem to publish an explanation around its rationale to delay P432. Ofgem took an action to discuss putting out a statement on this with the team (ACTION CCAG21-01).</p> <p><u>REC</u></p> <p>The updates from REC were as follows:</p> <p>R0083 and R0083A – The REC Code Manager shared that R0083 & R0083A (changes to supply number format for MHHS) have been submitted to the Authority for approval. The decision date for this has been moved to 20/09/23.</p>

	<p>R0143 – The REC Code Manager shared that this was a new change proposal that came in. The change will add a new Site Visit Check Code for Chargeable DUoS Capacity costs. This is to support DCP411, which is awaiting Authority decision and has been progressed as an urgent change.</p> <p>The Programme stated that DCP411 has not been highlighted as an issue to MHHS. The REC Code Manager responded that it is a valid change to the site visit check code data item, so it will depend if the interface catalogue or ISD has a place for this to be updated into.</p> <p>R0144 – The REC Code Manager shared that this was a new change proposal that came in. This is a cross-code change relating to BSC P459, which looks to update the management of Import and Export Metering Equipment Managers. It was noted that REC has not started progressing with this change and details need to be developed.</p> <p>The Supplier (Domestic) representative highlighted that he was surprised this change had been raised as P459 has a fundamental impact on MHHS Design, which is a large concern for Suppliers. The RECCo representative responded that this change had been discussed with the MHHS Design team, however did agree this would be a fundamental change to design. The Supplier Agent representative agreed that the change would cause lots of issues and suggested doing this after MHHS instead of during.</p> <p>The Programme took an action to engage with Elexon regarding concerns around R0144 (linked to P459) impacts to MHHS Programme (ACTION CCAG21-02).</p> <p><u>BSC</u></p> <p>No BSC representative at the meeting. The Programme provided the following updates in their absence:</p> <p>P458 – The Programme shared that the panel had recommended approval of this change around introducing data controller and processor obligations in the BSC for MHHS Testing.</p> <p>P459 – The Programme shared that this change had been discussed and that they were monitoring it. This change was around allowing different Supplier Agents to be appointed to Import and Export MSIDs.</p> <p>P460 - The Programme shared that this change was currently out for panel consultation. This change aims to amend the responsibility to propose and consult on a MHHS Migration Plan.</p> <p>The Supplier (Domestic) representative noted the importance of having all Code Bodies in attendance at CCAG meetings. The Programme took an action to follow up with Code Bodies on this and to ensure they make the appropriate updates to the horizon scanning log (ACTION CCAG21-03).</p>
<p>CDWG Escalations</p>	<p>The Programme provided an overview of the CDWG recommendation of BSC and REC Registration Code Artefact approval. The CCAG's role and purpose was noted and that the CCAG will make Level 3 decisions. It will further ensure that any decisions are based on full transparency with Programme Participants (PPs) and appropriate consultation.</p> <p>The Programme highlighted that the CCAG recommended Code Artefacts will remain 'live documents' which means that are not baselined until M6 final approval. The Code Artefacts will remain public at all times. Any contentious areas can be ring fenced, tracked and picked up through the mop-up process to avoid delaying whole tranches. It was further noted that there are no plans for 'repeat' consultation and any changes to design before M6 will need to be reflected in the Code Artefacts. Changes to approved artefacts must be change controlled and approved by CCAG.</p>

	<p>The Programme shared the CDWG recommendation that BSC and REC Registration Code Artefacts are sent to CCAG for their consideration. The recommendation is based on the current MHHS Design, the Code Artefacts have been translated accurately (subject to CRs and DINs) and are ready to be approved by the CCAG Chair in consultation with CCAG, published and where relevant updated during later tranches.</p> <p>The Chair asked if there were any objections. No objections. (DECISION CCAG-DEC34).</p>
<p>CCAG Reporting, Risks and Milestones</p>	<p>The Programme provided a status summary on code drafting activities and August deliverables, as per the slide. It was noted that the Code Drafting workstream is on track for M6 delivery and lower-level tier 3 milestones. Registration comments have been addressed and where accepted, the Code Artefacts have been red-lined and that these will be uploaded to the Collaboration Base.</p> <p>The Programme asked if there were any questions around the work against the plan.</p> <p>The Supplier Agent representative queried if the EMR phase of work was on track. The RECCo representative responded that it was on track. They are aware that there are some areas of the plan which are slightly behind where they had expected them to be provided to REC to then translate into the interface drafting (e.g. CR19 and ECS reports). The team is validating with those working on these areas to understand what can be provided and when. The plan is to have this document out 11 October, however it was noted there may be slight delays due to information coming in later and the need to incorporate this into the document, so PPs should expect mid-October.</p> <p>The Supplier Agent representative responded that there are further DIN log changes that have changed the interface specification significantly. The RECCo representative responded that they publish the interface specification, however there is the expectation that there may be more changes and DINs that may need to be incorporated into this at a later date. They are working out what is realistic to include for the October consultation, and if more days are needed then they will do this and are currently validating this with the design leads. The RECCo representative highlighted that if any PPs had any particular areas on the DINs or CRs they would like to share then they could email the RECCo team.</p> <p>The Programme ran through the top risks related to CCAG, highlighting that there was a new emphasis being driven in the Programme on bringing these risks to Advisory Groups.</p> <p>The REC Code Manager highlighted a duplicated mitigation action on risk R202 and R355, which the Programme noted to update.</p> <p><u>DCUSA Consequential changes status report</u></p> <p>The DCUSA representative provided an overview of their latest position and updates from the panel meeting last week.</p> <p>DCUSA had raised six Consequential Code Changes (CCC) – two are no longer required, three are completed and one is waiting on the Programme for CR19 and CR27. The DCUSA panel agreed to release all the changes (CC and the Programme impacted changes) in one document. Further information was highlighted, as per the slide.</p> <p>It was noted that there is a large dependency on CR19 and CR27, highlighted on the slide.</p> <p>The DCUSA representative provided an overview of the six CC changes. It was noted that DCUSA are meeting on 04 September to sign-off the documentation across the Programme and then will move through the official Programme processes for October release.</p> <p>The RECCo representative asked if any information could be shared with RECCo at the same time as they will also be waiting for updated design artefacts on CR19 alongside DCUSA. DCUSA agreed to this.</p>

	<p>The Programme shared that they are planning to produce a red-line version earlier on design updates to CR19. A PP stated that it would be useful to share the red-line version with Design Advisory Group (DAG) members.</p>
<p>NGESO Delivery Update</p>	<p>It was noted that Milly Lewis would be replacing Paul Mullins as the CUSC representative for CCAG.</p> <p>The NGESO representative provided an overview of the challenges CUSC charging – Transmission Network Use of System (TNUoS) faces. It was noted that the biggest challenge is how Suppliers and directly connected demand charged for TNUoS, as per the slide. They have two methodologies in place to deal with this, one for NHH (non-half hourly) and one for HH (half hourly). Both solutions were walked through, as per the slides.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) TNUoS Task Force to review Triad HH methodology as part of “Signals” work 2) 2 ESO develops legal text to remove NHH from CUSC as well as removing Measurement Classes by February 2024, but Ofgem does not implement until 2025 <p>It was shared that the plan is to develop solutions 1 and 2 concurrently to prevent further delays. The NGESO representative noted that the TNUoS Task Force had met last week and decided the leads for each area would look at what needs to be considered with an indicative timeline, shared on the slide. The timeline shows what will be delivered in the next 5 months and through to implementation in April 2025 for M11.</p> <p>The Supplier (Domestic) representative asked how the TNUoS Task Force would keep PPs informed on developments over time as they have had a lot of interest on this from Suppliers in their constituency. The CUSC representative shared that it would be best for interested PPs to sign up to the Charging Futures mailing list which provides meeting and summary packs. The link is included in the action of this headline report (ACTION CCAG21-05).</p> <p>The NGESO representative also took an action to provide a further delivery update on consequential code change at future CCAGs (ACTION CCAG21-06).</p> <p>The Supplier Agent representative asked of the two solutions, will there be a decision to follow one and or continue with both. The NGESO representative responded that the decision made with ESO will likely be to run both solutions in parallel. This will ensure there is a back-up if the Task Force isn't able to delivery by 2025 with the concern that there could then be a full year of double charging issues. It was noted that they do realise this will cause more work, however it is to avoid reconciliation. The Supplier Agent representative agreed.</p>
<p>Elexon Delivery Update</p>	<p>The Elexon representative shared the outline and key steps of their CCC path for the performance assurance areas. The key areas and timelines were walked through. It was noted that Supplier Charges, Trading Disputes and Qualification would not be done together but had the same timelines and therefore shown as one chevron.</p> <p>The Supplier (Domestic) representative asked if the code drafting review would be an industry consultation. The Elexon representative responded that it would be an internal piece.</p> <p>The Supplier Agent representative highlighted the risk that industry would not having sight of the performance assurance until the formal consultation in February and suggested having a consultation before this to engage industry. The Elexon representative noted that it is public on their website and organisations assurance experts should attend the Working Groups around this and therefore have sight of it.</p>

	<p>The Supplier Agent representative highlighted the issue around whether the bulk change of agent will exist in MHHS HH or not, and highlighted further thinking should be done on this.</p> <p>The Elexon representative went over the assumptions which underly the timeline, as per the slide. It was noted that the workstream impacts and changes for PPs are minimal. It was further noted that the Programme milestones M6 will remain unchanged, however the Tier 3 Elexon CCC will need to move from October to February consultation.</p> <p>The Elexon representative also took an action to provide a further delivery update on consequential code change at future CCAGs (ACTION CCAG21-07).</p> <p>The Programme took an action to update their plan to reflect Elexon's amended CC delivery date (ACTION CCAG21-08).</p> <p>The Programme asked if there will be any additional requirements for MHHS systems and services for reporting to support performance assurance, and if so, how will this be implemented. The Elexon representative responded that it will likely do this through reporting functions, and not through a system change. Most of this reporting data will come out of the new Helix system, which they are aware of and being engaged with.</p> <p>The Supplier Agent representative asked if these requirements end up as DINs will they formally go into design and be picked up in the mop-up process. The Programme responded that they wouldn't necessarily be DINs and it depends on the nature of the requirements which will have to be assessed.</p>
CDWG Update	The Programme provided an overview from the Code Drafting Working Group (CDWG), as per the slide.
Summary and next steps	The Programme summarised the meeting actions and next steps. No AOB raised.

Next CCAG meeting 27 September 2023 at 10:00am

Next CDWG1 meeting 08 August 2023 at 10:00am

Next CDWG2 meeting 15 August 2023 at 10:00am