

## MHHS Cross Code Advisory Group (CCAG) Headline Report

Issue date: 25/05/2023

Meeting number	<b>CCAG018</b>	Venue	<b>Virtual – MS Teams</b>
Date and time	<b>24 May 2023 10:00-12:00</b>	Classification	<b>Public</b>

### New / Outstanding Actions

Area	Ref	Action	Owner	Due
<b>Horizon Scanning</b>	<b>CCAG18-01</b>	Programme to advise DCUSA of next steps for CR019 as it relates to DCP415	Programme (PMO)	25/05/2023
<b>Code Drafting Process Map</b>	<b>CCAG18-02</b>	Programme update wording of process to be clear the only route to changing the MHHS design is raising a CR	Programme (Andrew Margan)	28/06/2023
	<b>CCAG18-03</b>	Programme to add new change process map to the Programme Collaboration Base	Programme (Andrew Margan)	28/06/2023
	<b>CCAG18-04</b>	Programme to provide update on the code artefact tracker at the next CCAG	Programme (Andrew Margan)	28/06/2023
<b>CCAG Reporting</b>	<b>CCAG18-05</b>	Programme to review and update the code drafting risks presented in the CCAG reporting	Programme (Andrew Margan)	28/06/2023

### Decisions

Area	Ref	Decision
<b>Minutes and Actions</b>	<b>CCAG-DEC27</b>	Minutes and Headline Reports of CCAG meetings held 22 February, 22 March, and 26 April 2023 approved
<b>Code Drafting Tranche 1 Recommendation</b>	<b>CCAG-DEC28</b>	Code drafting tranche 1 artefacts approved for publication and subject to change control

### RAID Items Discussed

RAID area	Description
None	

## Key Discussion items

Area	Discussion
<p><b>Minutes and Actions</b></p>	<p>The minutes and headline reports of the February, March, and April CCAG meetings we approved with no amendments (<b>DECISION CCAG-DEC27</b>).</p> <p>The CCAG review outstanding actions, updates for which can be found within the meeting papers. All actions were closed.</p>
<p><b>BSC Issue 101</b></p>	<p>A BSC Representative provided an overview of the timelines and code drafting requirements for BSC Issue 101, which is considering the enduring arrangements for the funding, governance, and ownership of the Data Integration Platform (DIP).</p> <p>The BSC are engaging with both the Programme and REC to manage any overlap in code drafting requirements and to ensure attention is given to any matter which require it.</p> <p>The CCAG discussed the junctures at which any Programme or REC code drafting requirements could be undertaken, should a need arise as part of the drafting to be undertaken as a result of BSC Issue 101. It was noted the 'mop-up' section of the Code Drafting Plan provided an opportunity to ensure all drafting remains aligned. The BSC intend to issue a consultation on the code drafting outputs of the issues group in January 2024, and REC provisionally intend to issue a consultation on MHHS related code drafting in March 2024. Both Code Bodies committed to ensuring open dialogue to support the effective management of any cross-code impacts or requirements. The BSC is seeking to frontload the most likely areas of cross code interaction in the Issue 101 consultation plan (e.g. onboarding/qualification).</p> <p>Ofgem acknowledged the potential dependencies between BSC and REC code drafting relating to advised they are reasonably comfortable with the drafting timelines and proposals laid out by the BSC.</p> <p>The CCAG were advised a consultation on the processes and procedures for managing BSC Issue 101 will be issued in June to begin to draw in wider industry views. The Issue 101 plan can be found here. The Programme offered to notify Programme Participants via The Clock, as well as CCAG and CDWG attendees, when the consultation is issued.</p> <p>The Programme advised that where any MHHS-related code drafting to be issued for consultation is dependent on matters to be confirmed via BSC Issue 101, this will be highlighted to participants and resolved under the mop-up code drafting tranche.</p> <p>The Supplier Agent Representative highlighted that requirements for onboarding/qualification which may emanate from BSC Issue 101 would affect pre-MHHS go live arrangements and code drafting. The BSC acknowledged this and advised the requirements are likely to be the same or very similar to MHHS System Integration Testing (SIT) requirements and should not change significantly in ensuring qualification requirements post-programme. The Supplier Agent Representative urged the BSC and Programme to make this clear to participants such that they engage with BSC Issue 101 now rather than assuming there will be future opportunities to provide views on qualification requirements.</p>
<p><b>Horizon Scanning Log</b></p>	<p><b>REC</b></p> <p>R0062 – Change seeks to allow DNOs to make more than one change to the Energy Direction as a consequence of related BSC change raised to facilitate MHHS. Target implementation is ahead of M8/M10 and the Programme will seek to send attendees to relevant working</p>

	<p>groups. The Supplier Agent Representative urged caution of the potential need for effect to/from dates for the Energy Direction if this change is approved.</p> <p>R0097 – The REC Code Manager advised limited feedback on this change had been received from the Electricity Retail Data Service (ERDS) providers and Central Switching Service (CSS) adapter service provider and urged DNOs to ensure they have sight of this change any impacts.</p> <p>R0101 – The Final Change Report will be presented to the REC Change Panel this week and Authority approval sought thereafter. No Programme Change Request (CR) is believed to be required as the scenario variant of the data flow changing is not now impacting the MHHS Design.</p> <p><b>DCUSA</b></p> <p>DCP415 – The Programme took an action to confirm the next steps for CR019 with DCUSA (<b>ACTION CCAG18-01</b>).</p> <p>DCP424 – A new change looking at use of system charging for complex sites. The solution is looking at using existing measurement classes which will no longer exist under MHHS. As such, it is unclear what may happen if this is the case and engagement with the Programme is required over an alternative or any impacts on the MHHS Design.</p> <p><b>CUSC</b></p> <p>Following review of the CUSC for MHHS-related impacts, a sizeable number of references to half-hourly within the CUSC have been identified and simple removal is not preferred. A review of charging arrangements has commenced via a TNUoS Task Force, and this will consider how changes to the CUSC charging framework can be processed in line with Programme timeframes. The Programme highlighted the need to ensure the work of the task force fits with MHHS delivery timelines, and the NGEN Representative advised it is understood there should not be delays to MHHS delivery as a result of the review, but cautioned there is a sizeable charging regime which sits behind the review. The Programme requested bilateral discussion to review dependencies on code drafting and consider when any code drafting requirements will become known. One CCAG member believed the impact may only be on the provision of data.</p>
<p><b>Change Process Map</b></p>	<p>Following the last CCAG, the Programme have worked with Ofgem to refine the Change Process Map which seeks to provide a flexible framework for how external code change which may be dependent on change to the MHHS Design can be managed.</p> <p>The principles underpinning this process include that external code change which may require a Programme CR should not be progressed to a decision before a CR is raised. The implementation date of any such external changes will then be determined under the Programme CR. The Programme advised open and pragmatic discussions with Code Bodies will be required for each change and the approach will be to consider what the quickest way of bringing a change to market will be. The approach may differ depending on whether the solution is known.</p> <p>An action was taken by the Programme to ensure there is clarity within the process that changes to the MHHS Design can only be instigated via a Programme CR (<b>ACTION CCAG18-02</b>).</p> <p>The CCAG agreed the principles and process provided by the Programme could be accepted as the formal position on how external code change which may require a Programme CR is managed, noting the emphasis on early dialogue between the Programme and Code Bodies and the flexibility the process provides. The Programme will add the process to the code drafting area of the Programme Collaboration Base (<b>ACTION CCAG18-03</b>).</p>

<p><b>Code Drafting Tranche 1 Recommendation</b></p>	<p>The Programme provided an overview of the Tranche 1 code drafting consultation, which focussed on Metering Services and Data Services code drafting. An overview of response metrics and updates made to code drafting artefacts following the consultation was provided alongside an overview of Programme governance mechanisms which underpin the process.</p> <p>The CCAG were advised the CDWG had recommended the Tranche 1 code drafting artefacts are accepted, and the group discussed the terminology to be used regarding the status of any code drafting artefacts agreed. The desire to avoid the potential confusion that may be caused by terms such as 'baseline' or 'approval' was noted given formal approval of code drafting does not occur until M6. The CCAG agreed that what was required was a phrasing that adequately indicated that, once accepted by the CCAG, code drafting could not be changes without proceeding through a change control mechanism, which in this instance would be review by the CDWG and approval by the CCAG. The Programme and CCAG agreed the term 'approved for publication and subject to change control' was preferable.</p> <p>The Chair asked the CCAG whether the code artefacts were ready to be approved for publication and subject to change control, to which no members objected. The Chair therefore accepted the CDWG's recommendation (<b>DECISION CCAG-DEC28</b>).</p> <p>The Programme advised the code artefact approved for publication would be published to the Programme Collaboration Base, and advised the next code drafting top, Registration, would commence in due course. The Programme agreed to provide an update at the next meeting on how code artefact versioning and changes are tracked and managed (<b>ACTIONS CCAG18-04</b>).</p>
<p><b>CCAG Reporting</b></p>	<p>The Programme agreed to update the key risks relating to code drafting, noting references to monitoring via the Consequential Change Impact Assessment Group (CCIAG) were now out of date (<b>ACTION CCAG18-05</b>).</p>

**Next CCAG: 28 June 2023 10am**

**Next CDWG1: 13 June 2023 10am**

**Next CDWG2: 20 June 2023 10am**